

REMARKS

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular, claim 4 has been made a proper dependent claim depending from claim 2. In addition, claims 5 and 8 have been amended for clarity.

The Examiner has rejected claims 4, 5, 7 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,029,215 to Miller, II in view of U.S. Patent 5,844,994 to Graumann. Applicants acknowledge that the Examiner has allowed claims 2, 3 and 9, and has found claims 6, 8 and 10 allowable over the prior art of record.

In view of the above changes, Applicants believe that the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection has been overcome.

Applicants believe that this application, containing claims 2-11, is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

by 
Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613
Attorney
Tel.: 914-333-9611